UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DAN NEWMAN, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated employees,	3:06-CV-0367-LRH (RAM)
Plaintiff,)) MINUTES OF THE COURT
) October 26, 2006
vs.)
OAK STREET MORTGAGE, LLC,)
Defendant.)))
PRESENT: THE HONORABLE ROBERT	Γ A. McQUAID, JR., U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
DEPUTY CLERK: GINA MUGNAINI	REPORTER: NONE APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING	
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING	
MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:	
The parties have filed a Joint Motion for Clarification Regarding Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Doc. #26).	
By signing the parties' proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Doc. $\#23$) the court meant to approve the parties' agreement that:	
pleading to the complaint 10 chas been ruled upon. As the unknown to the parties at the following deadlines cannot be parties have agreed to the limit	ed that Defendant will file a responsive days after the pending motion to strike the disposition date of the motion is this time, the calendar dates for the experimental included in this plan, however, the tests set out in LR 26-1. The parties will by plan with the correct dates, within responsive pleading."
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

Deputy Clerk